

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name	Anne Kmyta	Phone	(705) 643-2158
Title	Clerk-Treasurer		
Municipality	Township of Larder Lake		
E-mail	Anne.Kmyta@ntl.sympatico.ca		

Related documents and links

--

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON

1.1 GENERAL GOVERNMENT – EFFICIENCY			
	2008	2007	
	3.2%	3.2%	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.

Objective

Efficient municipal government.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS

The low operating costs for governance and corporate management can be attributed to the following factors: Municipal council is paid a nominal fee for their services as they do not occupy full-time positions and therefore their salary is reflective of their position. Corporate management costs are low because administrative staff is few in number with several positions being combined into one.

The formula for the local government measure was changed in 2007.
SLC 91 0205 13 Financial Information Return.

Fire Services

CONTACT PERSON Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

2.1 FIRE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$4.53	\$3.50	\$3.51	\$2.76	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.

Objective

Efficient fire services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The fire department is operated on a volunteer basis with costs being kept at a minimal.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 1102 13 Financial Information Return.

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

3.1 POLICE SERVICES – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$165.65	\$422.41	\$306.00	\$376.77	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for police services per person.

Objective

Efficient police services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Rate of crime in the area is minimal with the majority of crimes being the responsibility of the Ontario Provincial Police. The police protection is provided by the Ontario Provincial Police. The reduction in costs in 2008 from 2007 is a direct result of a cost savings passed on to the Township by the Ontario Provincial Police.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 1203 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

3.2 VIOLENT CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Effectiveness Measure

Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No violent crimes were committed in 2008.

SLC 92 1258 07 Financial Information Return.

3.3 PROPERTY CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Effectiveness Measure

Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Safe communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Little or no crimes committed in the community.

SLC 92 1259 07 Financial Information Return.

3.4 TOTAL CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (Criminal Code offences, excluding traffic).

Objective

Safe Communities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Little or no crimes committed in the community.

SLC 92 1263 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

3.5 YOUTH CRIME RATE - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
<p>Effectiveness Measure Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.</p> <p>Objective Safe Communities.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p> <p>Little or no crimes committed in the community.</p>					
<p>The formula for youth crime was changed in 2005 to include youths who receive pre-charge resolutions such as warnings and cautions. SLC 92 1265 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					

Roads

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

4.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$521.89	\$2,026.29	\$0.00	\$0.00	
<p>Efficiency Measure Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.</p> <p>Objective Efficient maintenance of paved roads.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results Maintenance performed in prior years resulted in lower costs for 2008. Less manpower required in 2008 to perform same duties.</p>					
<p>Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 2107 13 Financial Information Return.</p>					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

4.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.

Objective

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Costs for maintenance of unpaved roads are not traceable as there are fewer than 15 kilometres of unpaved roads that are maintained by the Township.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 2108 13 Financial Information Return.

4.3 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$852.27	\$390.67	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.

Objective

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The increase in costs results in a more severe winter than in 2007 requiring more snow removal. The increased cost of fuels also contributes to the increase in costs over the year 2007.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 2204 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

4.4 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	65%	65%	65%	65%	65%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good.

Objective

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The public works department has been able to maintain the condition of the paved roads, however they have not been able to achieve any additional improvements.

SLC 92 2152 07 Financial Information Return.

Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).

4.5 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.

Objective

Appropriate response to winter storm events.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The public works department responds to all winter events in a timely manner.

SLC 92 2251 07 Financial Information Return.

Conventional Transit

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

5.1 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for conventional transit per regular service passenger trip.

Objective

Efficient conventional transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No public transit system is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 2302 13 Financial Information Return.

5.2 CONVENTIONAL TRANSIT RIDERSHIP - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of conventional transit passenger trips per person in the service area in a year.

Objective

Maximum utilization of municipal transit services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No public transit system is available.

SLC 92 2351 07 Financial Information Return.

Wastewater (Sewage)

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

6.1 WASTEWATER COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection of wastewater per kilometre of wastewater main.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater collection services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs. SLC 91 3110 13 Financial Information Return.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of wastewater main was redefined; connections were eliminated.

6.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal wastewater treatment and disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3108 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.3 WASTEWATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the collection, treatment and disposal of wastewater per megalitre (Integrated System).

Objective

Efficient wastewater system (Integrated System).

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3109 13 Financial Information Return.

6.4 WASTEWATER MAIN BACKUPS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Effectiveness Measure

Number of wastewater main backups per 100 kilometres of wastewater main in a year.

Objective

Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

SLC 92 3154 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY

Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

6.5 WASTEWATER BYPASSES TREATMENT - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%
Effectiveness Measure Percentage of wastewater estimated to have by-passed treatment.					
Objective Municipal sewage management practices prevent environmental and human health hazards.					
Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results No financial or statistical information is available.					
SLC 92 3155 07 Financial Information Return.					

Storm Water

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

7.1 URBAN STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for urban storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient urban storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained.

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3207 13 Financial Information Return.

7.2 RURAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for rural storm water management (collection, treatment, disposal) per kilometre of drainage system.

Objective

Efficient rural storm water management.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Separate storm sewers do not exist and therefore no financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of kilometres of drainage system was redefined to eliminate connections; catch basins were retained

Reported by municipalities with a separate storm water system.

SLC 91 3208 13 Financial Information Return.

Drinking Water

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

8.1 DRINKING WATER TREATMENT – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment of drinking water per megalitre.

Objective

Efficient municipal water treatment services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3307 13 Financial Information Return.

8.2 DRINKING WATER DISTRIBUTION – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the distribution of drinking water per kilometre of water distribution pipe.

Objective

Efficient municipal water distribution services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

In 2006, the definition of distribution pipe was redefined; connections and hydrants were eliminated.

SLC 91 3310 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

8.3 DRINKING WATER INTEGRATED SYSTEM – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for the treatment and distribution of drinking water per megalitre.
(Integrated System)

Objective

Efficient municipal water system (Integrated System).

Key Factors for Understanding Performance

No financial or statistical information is available.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

One megalitre equals 1,000,000 litres or 1,000 cubic metres.

SLC 91 3309 13 Financial Information Return.

8.4 BOIL WATER ADVISORIES - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Effectiveness Measure

Weighted number of days when a boil water advisory issued by the Medical Officer of Health, applicable to a municipal water supply, was in effect.

Objective

Water is safe and meets local needs.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No boil water advisories were issued.

SLC 92 3355 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

8.5 BREAKS IN WATER MAINS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<p>Effectiveness Measure Number of water main breaks per 100 kilometres of water distribution pipe in a year.</p> <p>Objective Improve system reliability.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p> <p>No breaks in water mains occurred in 2008.</p>					
<p>SLC 92 3356 07 Financial Information Return.</p>					

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

9.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$118.34/ household	\$84.35/ household	\$91.40/ household	\$147.19/ household	
<p>Efficiency Measure Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne or per household. (Specify)</p> <p>Objective Efficient municipal garbage collection services.</p>					
<p>Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results</p> <p>Increase costs in wages and fuel supplies contribute to the increase in cost for garbage collection.</p>					
<p>Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.</p> <p>SLC 91 3403 13 Financial Information Return.</p>					

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$121.86/ household	\$144.15/ household	\$136.48/ household	\$200.41/ household	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne or per household. **(Specify)**

Objective

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Disposal operation completed in a more efficient manner.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 3503 13 Financial Information Return.

9.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$74.18/ household	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for solid waste diversion (recycling) per tonne or per household. **(Specify)**

Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Recycling program was implemented in October 2008. No prior program existed.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 3604 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.4 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT (INTEGRATED SYSTEM) – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$314.38/ household	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Efficiency Measure

Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne or per household. **(Specify)**

Objective

Efficient municipal solid waste management (Integrated System).

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No statistical or financial information available for years prior to 2008.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 3605 13 Financial Information Return.

9.5 COMPLAINTS — COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	100.0	8.114	0.00	0.00	0.00

Effectiveness Measure

Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.

Objective

Improved garbage collection of garbage and recycled materials.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Most complaints were in regards to the recycling program and the confusion regarding what materials would be picked up and when pickups would occur. Complaints regarding the collection of garbage related to minor issues such as the handling of the waste container.

SLC 92 3452 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**9.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	1	1	1	1	1

Effectiveness Measure

Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment Certificate of Approval.

Objective

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3552 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

9.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

Effectiveness Measure

Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

Objective

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

Facility Name	Days 2008	Days 2007	Days 2006	Days 2005	Days 2004
Larder Lake Landfill	90	90	90	7	0
<i>Please delete un-used rows</i>					

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Performance

Facility Name: SLC 92 3553 03 to SLC 92 3560 03
 Days: SLC 92 3553 07 to SLC 92 3560 07
 List facilities in the order they appear in the 2008 FIR.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**9.8 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.8%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling.

Objective

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Recycling program implemented in October 2008, no program was active prior to this date. Recycling program is restricted to the collection of glass, tin, aluminum and paper materials and class 1 and 2 plastics.

SLC 92 3655 07 Financial Information Return.

**9.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE
(Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage)
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	x%	x%	x%	x%	x%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional tonnage).

Objective

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

SLC 92 3656 07 Financial Information Return.

Parks and Recreation

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

10.1 PARKS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$84.37/ person	\$67.55/ person	\$50.11/ person	\$53.99/ person	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for parks per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of parks.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

The number of parks that the Township of Larder Lake is responsible for has increased in 2008 resulting in an increase in the cost of operation.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7102 13 Financial Information Return.

10.2 RECREATION PROGRAMS – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$32.18	\$30.92	\$34.35	\$40.20	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

A decline in volunteers available to operate programs has resulted in the need to have programs operated by paid employees. Over the years there has been a decline in attendance and therefore a decline in the collection of user fees to help offset the cost of operation.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
This measure is based on total population, not the population participating in recreation programs.
SLC 91 7202 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$108.03	\$106.19	\$84.49	\$84.49	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Increased utility cost has resulted in the cost of operation for the recreation center to increase.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
SLC 91 7303 13 Financial Information Return.

10.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY				
	2008	2007		
	\$140.21	\$137.12		

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).

Objective

Efficient operation of recreation programs and recreation facilities.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Increased cost of operation as well as the decline in revenues collected result in the increase in operating costs for recreational programs per person. There was an increase in the number of events that took place whereby the rental cost for the recreation center was waived.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.
This measure represents a subtotal and is automatically completed when a municipality reports one or more efficiency measures for parks and recreation.
SLC 91 7305 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.5 TRAILS - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure
Total kilometres of trails.

SLC 92 7152 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Effectiveness Measure
Total kilometres of trails per 1,000 persons.

Objective
Trails provide recreation opportunities.

SLC 92 7152 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No trails are maintained by the Township of Larder Lake.

10.6 OPEN SPACE - EFFECTIVENESS				
	2008	2007	2006	
	x	x	x	

Effectiveness Measure
Hectares of open space (municipally owned).

SLC 92 7155 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	
	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Effectiveness Measure
Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

Objective
Open space is adequate for population.

SLC 92 7155 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No statistical information is available.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**10.7 PARTICIPANT HOURS FOR RECREATION PROGRAMS
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	544.218	716.0	716.0	716.0	

Effectiveness Measure

Total participant hours for recreation programs per 1,000 persons.

Objective

Recreation programs serve needs of residents.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Due to the increase of cost and absence of program organizers the youth program was cancelled at the beginning of 2008.

This measure was redefined in 2005 to exclude special events.

The denominator of this measure is total population divided by 1,000 and does not represent the number of participants in recreation programs.

SLC 92 7255 07 Financial Information Return.

10.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	
	716.0	716.0	716.0	

Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).

SLC 92 7356 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	
	974.15	974.15	974.15	

Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of indoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

Objective

Recreation facility space is adequate for population.

In 2006, the measure for recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7356 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No change in the space for indoor recreational space.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

10.9 OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	
	965860.	965860.	965860.	

Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space (municipally owned).

SLC 92 7359 05 Financial Information Return.

	2008	2007	2006	
	1314095238.	1314095238.	1314095238.	

Effectiveness Measure

Square metres of outdoor recreation facility space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).

Objective

Recreation facility space is adequate for population.

In 2006, the measure of recreation facilities was split into a measure of indoor recreation facilities and a measure of outdoor recreation facility space. The new measures are defined as municipally owned facilities.

SLC 92 7359 07 Financial Information Return.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No change in outdoor recreational space provided to the community.

Libraries

CONTACT PERSON – Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

11.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$164.86	\$115.38	\$103.05	\$107.65	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per person.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Increased cost of library operation results from the increase in cost for utilities and insurance.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7403 13 Financial Information Return.

11.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY

	2008	2007	2006	2005	
	\$12,117.20	\$8,480.50	\$7,759.60	\$7,384.90	

Efficiency Measure

Operating costs for library services per use.

Objective

Efficient library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Cost of operation has increased in 2008 as compared to 2007, while the number of residents in the community remain the same.

Formulas for all efficiency measures were changed in 2005 to improve the definition of operating costs.

SLC 91 7404 13 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

11.3 LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0

Effectiveness Measure

Library uses per person.

Objective

Increased use of library services.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Use of the library remains constant from one year to the next.

SLC 92 7460 07 Financial Information Return.

11.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	20%	20%	20%	20%	20%

Effectiveness Measure

Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.

Objective

Better information on library usage.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Library services and usage remain constant.

SLC 92 7461 07 Financial Information Return.

11.5 NON-ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%

Effectiveness Measure

Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses.

Objective

Better information on library usage.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

Library services and usage remain constant.

SLC 92 7462 07 Financial Information Return.

Land Use Planning

CONTACT PERSON - Anne Kmyta, Clerk-Treasurer

12.1 LOCATION OF NEW DEVELOPMENT - EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	
	0%	0%	

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.

Objective

New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No new development has taken place in 2008.

SLC 92 8170 07 Financial Information Return.

In 2007, the measure for the location of new development was redefined for greater accuracy.

12.2 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND DURING REPORTING YEAR EFFECTIVENESS

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0x%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No agricultural lands exist within the Township of Larder Lake.

SLC 92 8163 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**12.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Effectiveness Measure

Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No agricultural lands exist within the Township of Larder Lake.

SLC 92 8164 07 Financial Information Return.

**12.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES DURING REPORTING YEAR
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No agricultural lands exist within the Township of Larder Lake.

SLC 92 8165 07 Financial Information Return.

MUNICIPALITY
Municipal Performance Measurement Program • 2008 RESULTS

**12.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000
EFFECTIVENESS**

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	0	0	0	0	0

Effectiveness Measure

Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.

Objective

Preservation of agricultural land.

Notes & Key Factors for Understanding Results

No agricultural lands exist within the Township of Larder Lake.

SLC 92 8166 07 Financial Information Return.